

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/823,632	•	04/14/2004	Steven T. Fink	071469-0309183	4628
909	7590	03/24/2006		EXAMINER	
		THROP SHAW PI	LUND, JEFFE	LUND, JEFFRIE ROBERT	
	P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1763	
				DATE MAILED: 03/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/823,632	FINK, STEVEN T.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jeffrie R. Lund	1763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is not soft time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  ply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ja</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	•				
Dienociti	on of Claims		,				
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Application 9)□ 10)⊠	Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 31-33 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 14 April 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath oath of the oath of the oath of the oath oath oath oath oath oath oath oath	n from consideration. relection requirement.  r.  ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected accepted or b of the consideration.	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2)  Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 4/04.	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/823,632 Page 2

Art Unit: 1763

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-30, in the reply filed on January 6, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the restriction is not proper; there is no serious burden; and the inventions share a common thread. This is not found persuasive because no specific error in the rejection has been noted; the required search and consideration of each of the two different invention (apparatus and method) are different and require a second examination, which is a serious burden on the Examiner; and the common thread of structure is moot because in the apparatus the structure is the invention, while in the method, it is not of patentable weight. The Examiner further notes that the apparatus of claim 1 is not capable of performing the method of claim 30.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 17 contains the trademark/trade name REXOLITE™ and TEFLON™.

Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the

Art Unit: 1763

requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe insulators and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-12, 16, and 18-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnson, WO 99/53120.

Johnson teaches a plasma reactor that includes: a vacuum chamber; a chuck assembly 14, 30 for holding a wafer 15, 32; a plasma source (CCP 314 in figure 15, ICP 28 in figure 1, ESFR 38 in figure 5); and a holding structure (chuck mount ring 16 and upper walls in figure 1, inject/exhaust plate 42, 314 and lower walls in figure 5 and 15); matching networks for the plasma source and chuck held by the holding structure; electric insulators 33, 37 to insulate the chuck and plasma source; a gas supply system 21, 42, 310 (chamber plate) opposite the chuck and supported by the holding structure;

Application/Control Number: 10/823,632 Page 4

Art Unit: 1763

a transfer chamber 41 with bellows (valve) 39; side walls 44 supporting a turbo molecular pump 26, 46. (Entire document, specifically, figures 1, 5, and 15) The transfer chamber inherently has a robot to transfer the wafers to and from the chuck.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 13-15, 17, 29, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson, WO 99/53120, in view of Rice et al, US Patent 6,095,083.

  Johnson was discussed above.

Johnson differs from the present invention in that Johnson does not teach supplying utilities to the chuck, the type of insulators, or a pivot.

Rice et al teaches a processing chamber including a chuck 54 that is supplied with utilities including a bias power source and a temperature regulating system, using TEFLON<sup>TM</sup> as an insulator, and a pivot 4004. (Entire document, specifically, Figures 26, 27, and 32.

#### **Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrie R. Lund whose telephone number is (571) 272-1437. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (6:30 am-6:00pm).
 If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

**Art Unit: 1763** 

supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on (571) 272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrie R. Lund Primary Examiner Art Unit 1763

JRL 3/20/06